

process while not compromising the statutorily-protected confidentiality of certain categories of information that Pfizer has provided to FDA as part of the BLA approval process.

Because FDA has expressed concern about whether it can adequately review the volume of material in time to protect against the disclosure of confidential commercial information, Pfizer seeks leave to intervene, at the Court's and Government's suggestion, for the limited purpose of helping FDA and the Court ensure expeditious action as ordered by this Court and ensuring that Pfizer is informed of relevant developments in relation to the case. Pfizer recognizes that it is the Government's province to make the relevant determinations under FOIA, but Pfizer hopes that engaging in a dialogue with the Government where it has questions about Pfizer's view regarding certain portions of the BLA will make it easier for the Government to meet the production schedule ordered by this Court.

The accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities sets out why this motion should be granted. A proposed order is submitted herewith as Exhibit 1. A statement of interest is submitted herewith as Exhibit 2.

Dated: January 21, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel L. Tobey

Daniel L. Tobey

State Bar No. 24048842

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

1900 N. Pearl St, Suite 2200

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 743-4500

Facsimile: (214) 743-4545

Ashley Allen Carr

State Bar No. 24082619

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

303 Colorado Street, Suite 3000

Austin, Texas 78701

Telephone: (512) 457-7000

Facsimile: (512) 457-7001

Matthew A. Holian (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
33 Arch Street, 26th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1447
Telephone: (617) 406-6009
Facsimile: (617) 406-6109

Counsel for Proposed Intervenor Pfizer Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I certify that on January 19, 2022, I conferred with counsel for Defendant regarding this Motion. Counsel for Defendant indicated that Defendant is unopposed to the relief sought herein. I further certify that counsel for Pfizer (Matt Holian and Danny Tobey) conferred with Plaintiff's counsel (Aaron Siri and Elizabeth Brehm) on the Motion by videoconference on January 20 and by email on January 20 and 21. Agreement could not be reached because Plaintiff's counsel stated Plaintiff's position as follows: "Plaintiff does not object to Pfizer moving to intervene, so long as Pfizer intends to only address decisions going forward and not to ask the Court to reconsider decisions it has already reached since any motion to intervene as to already adjudicated matters is untimely." Pfizer does not presently intend to move the Court to reconsider its January 6, 2022 order, but Pfizer is not in a position at this time to waive its ability to do so if circumstances change such that there is good cause at a later time to do so. Pfizer also disagrees with Plaintiff that its motion to intervene is untimely.

/s/ Daniel L. Tobey
Daniel L. Tobey

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 21, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing Motion for Leave to Intervene using the CM/ECF system. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all parties of record.

/s/ Daniel L. Tobey
Daniel L. Tobey